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1. Introduction

Petrography and XRD are two methods for the recognition of stone sources. Relevant examples of using these methods include cylinder seals studies of the ancient Near East by using XRD (Collon 1989, 11–19), microscopic slices from the Achaemenid palace of Bardak-e-Siyah (Jafari 2005, 268), identification of the material composition of stones from Persepolis for repairing and preserving them (Amanolahi 2005, 68–75), and determining the cause of wear on the Bisotun engravings and preventing such deteriorations (Mohseni et al. 2007, 92–94). All of these studies have used at least one of the two methods.

According to Herodotus (The Histories, p. 54), at the time of Deioces (768 to 675 BC) Hegmatâneh was the capital of Media. In the Nabonidus chronicle, it is mentioned that Cyrus II, “The Great” (559–530 BC), seized Hamadan after defeating Astyages, king of the Medes (585 to 550 BC) (Grayson 1975, 106, 56). According to the Greek sources, Cyrus invaded Media because he wanted a political independence (Brosius 2006, 8).

From the point of view of stratification data, as a new architectural feature appeared. In Iran in Musiyan in the sixth millennium BC (Mirdavudi 1995, 37), Hasanlu IVB and C (1200–900 BC), columned halls from Mannaean period in the Solduz valley, south-west of Lake Urmia (Dyson 1965, 193–217; 1989, 107–127), wooden columns with stone bases at Godin II (800–400 BC) located approximately 12 kilometres south-east of the town of Kangavar (Yang 1967; 133–149), at Qalaichi (800–600 BC), near Bukan (Mollazadeh 2008, 107–125), and at Bábâ Jân IA, II, Lûristan, with a large central hall and a double line of columns down the centre and a stone paved area at one end. The layout of Bábâ Jân reveals possible connections with contemporaneous Assyrian palace architecture, as well as foreshadowing later Achaemenid constructions (Goff 1967, 133–149; 1970, 141–156).

Cyrus initiated the foundation of the first Persian royal centre, Pasargadæ (Elamite: Batrakatash), on the plain of Marv Dasht in eastern Persia. The site was dominated by
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Figure 1. Geological map of Hamadan, printed by Inc. Tehran 1977. The brown areas are hornfels and hornfels schist and the light-blue areas are shale, slate, and schist.
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2. Geological overview

Sanandaj-Sirjan zone is the metamorphic belt of the Zagros orogen in western Iran, which uplifted during the continental collision between the Afro-Arabian continent and the Iranian microcontinent (Mohajiel et al. 2006, 11–19). ALS-bearing schists are part of the metamorphic rocks in the north-west half of the Sanandaj-Sirjan zone. They consist of metasediments including micaschist, garnet staurolite schist, garnet andalusite schist and garnet sillimanite schist (Figure 1) with protoliths of pelite interbedded in additional detritic sediments. Five episodes of deformation were recognized in the ALS-bearing schists (Ibid. 2006, 11–19).

3. Methods and sampling

3.1 The XRD Method

The XRD method is used in recognition of precious and semi-precious minerals and rocks, particularly with minerals such as hematite, quartz, rocks, limestone and metamorphic rocks, and inorganic compounds such as celadon and ceramic materials. The XRD method, along with XRF (X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy), is also used to detect the color of the minerals in the frescoes and stone statues and to determine the origin of the pottery and stone objects by tracing their constituent elements (Ellis, 2000, 671–672).

Three pieces of the column bases (3X5 hala cm ya inch ya har vahede andazegiri) and a sample of the stone base
Figure 3. Samples number 5, 6 and 7, up., 2 and 3, from the Achaemenid column bases used for analysis by XRD, (photos by authors).
Up: a thin section image of pyrite crystal and solution veinlet, XPL, 40× magnification, H. 5.

Middle: images of a shale section, XPL, 40× magnification, H. 1.

Down: Images of a shale Mudstone section, XPL, 40× magnification, H. 2.

Figure 4. Texture of the samples of the column bases, above and the Farhad Dash quarry, below.
of Hegmataneh were analyzed at the XRD laboratory of the Geology Department of Bu-Ali Sina University² (Figure 3).

Sample No.1 is from a Hegmataneh stone base containing quartz minerals³ calcite, potassic feldspar, illite and kaolinite compound. The sample is a low-grade metamorphosed mineral such as Chlorite, ilite and Kaolinite are low-grade metamorphic minerals. Quartz and calcite in the samples 2 and 3 are approximately the same ratio as the rocky outcrops of Hegmataneh. Even if the unstable base rocks of Hegmataneh make them improper to be used in buildings. The differences between these samples are due to the mineral ratios of their main components not their variety, the type of rock and the natural mechanisms resulting from the metamorphosis of the Alvand Mountains.

The range of the Alvand Mountains depicts a higher metamorphosis ratio and a higher percentage of unstable minerals (clay and calcite) like what is found in the samples taken from Divin and Abbasabad (Numbers 8 and 9).

Sample 4 consists of a higher quantity of quartz also it might be sandstone as well. These homogeneous stones spread from the North of the West of Hamedan, next to Shavarin, the village of Baghche and Sabzabad, some 20 km from Hamadan (Table 1). From a mineralogical point of view, the samples from Asadabad, Mosala and Emanzade-Kuh (Numbers 5, 6 and 7) are similar to those from the pedestal samples.

### Table 1. XRD analysis results: Samples 5, 6 and 7 are column bases from Hegmataneh and Sample 8 is from the Hegmataneh rocky outcropping. Samples 9, 10 and 11 are from Asadabad, Mosala and Emanzade-Kuh respectively. Samples 12 and 13 are from Divin and Abbasabad. Key: Quartz: Qtz., Kaolinite: Kaol., Plagioclase: Plag., Montmorillonite: Mo., Chlorite: Chlo., Calcite: Cc., Illite: Illi., Dolomite: Do., Andalusite: And. & Mica: M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trace Phase</th>
<th>Minor Phases</th>
<th>Major Phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaol</td>
<td>Illi., Plag</td>
<td>Qtz., Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlo</td>
<td>Illi., Plag</td>
<td>Qtz., Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlo., Do</td>
<td>Illi., Plag</td>
<td>Qtz., Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaol</td>
<td>Do</td>
<td>Qtz., Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlo, Do</td>
<td>Kaol</td>
<td>Qtz., Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaol</td>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>Qtz., Illi., M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And</td>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>Qtz., Illi., M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaol, And</td>
<td>Plag</td>
<td>Qtz., Illi., M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Petrographic analysis⁴

In order to distinguish the quarry sources, mineralogical and palaeontological methods are utilised (Henderson 2000, 27). The geological and petrographic studies of the column bases from Hegmataneh indicate that the shale stone used (mixed with pyrite at times) comes from one or more quarries with similar geological characteristics. After considering the petrology of limestone samples from Farhad Dash and Khorzaneh mines, we cut three thin sections from Farhad Dash named F.1-3 and nine from the column bases (H.1-9). In order to distinguish the calcite from the dolomite, we colored them by red alizarin solvent per the Dixon method (1965). A coloration and petrography study by polarizing microscope indicated that the mineralogical character of the samples is a compound of calcite. The additional minerals encompass a small amount of terrigenous quartz and second hematite (Figure 4).

### 4. Discussion

Khorzaneh is one of the ancient quarries mentioned in the historical texts. Yaghut Homavi reports that Alexander the Great ordered the construction of the famous stone lion of Hamadan (“Shir Sangi”) after his return from Babylon in 334 BC by quarrying stone from this quarry (Azkaii 2001, 1–200). Our research cannot confirm this assertion. Engravings and additional archaeological finds among which is pottery (from the second half of the first millennium BC).

The other quarry, Farhad Dash, is in Salehabad, 45 km from Hamadan. This quarry is similar to the open quarry found in the Sivand Mountains of Fars province (Rezai 2006, 78), Hussein-Kuh near Naqsh-e-Rostam (Huff 2004, 395–396) and Qademagh south-west of Rahmat-Kuh, which belong to the Achaemenid period (Bessac 2007, 187–206). From this quarry, blocks of limestone 4.70 m×1–3 m were divided into pieces of 1.10×1.10 or 83×58 cm, and these were later transported from the highlands to valley (Figure 2).

Considering that kind of Achaemenid column bases are different from the Khorzaneh and Farhad Dash stones, the petrographic data and XRD analysis revealed that the Achaemenids used low-grade metamorphic stones from rocky outcrops in Hamadan and its environs. However it is to be noted, they primarily used non-flaky stones with resistance and beauty such as sedimentary rock of slate or shale which form NW-SE trending heights around Hamadan: Asadabad, Mosala or Emanzade-Kuh. Other sources used by the Achaemenids to construct buildings include sandstone⁵

---

²The sample preparation procedures greatly vary. There are five basic types of procedures: grinding, dissolution, melting and embedding. Powdering (or grinding) of samples is a simple preparation method required in a number of spectrometric and spectroscopic techniques, such as XRD. (Domenech-Carbó & Costa 2009: 10).

³The presence of quartz is important because rocks and minerals composed chiefly of quartz make up a large percentage of the lithic artifacts. Quartz is the most stable of all the minerals under sedimentary conditions and in the Earth’s surface environment (Ellis 2000, 530).

⁴Most lithic composed of minerals The minerals are crystalline, thus the petrography is based on crystal symmetry and crystal chemistry. Thin-section petrography requires the use of a polarizing microscope. The polarizing microscope has two functions: (1) to provide an enlarged image of an object placed on the microscope stage, and (2) to provide plane and crossed polarized light and convergent light (Rapp 2009, 24).

⁵Presumably sandstone not used by Achaemenian, because it is not conform to form and quantity of column bases.
and hornfels from North-East, west and south Hamadan (the result of the Alvand stone transformation, see Figure 2, nr. 5.

5. Conclusion

The analytical studies of the quarry activity during the Achaemenid period (although not associated with what mentioned of analytical aspect), and their mineralogical variety in the specific stones utilized for the construction, based on geological context of Hamadan are signs of native resources.
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