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1. Introduction

Monumental features indirectly documenting changes in the structure of society at that time are represented in the Central European Neolithic period, in particular its later phases (4900–4500 BC) typically by the STK – Stroked pottery culture and Lengyel culture (Moravian painted ware culture). Composed of several construction elements, this type of feature is typically referred to as a “rondel” in the Czech environment (Pavlů 1982; Podborský 1988; Podborský 1999; terms used in foreign literature include Kreisgrabenanlage, circular ditches, circular enclosures, woodhenges, etc). A “classic” Late Neolithic rondel is typically considered a complex of one or several, more or less round, ditches with a characteristic sharp profile, and one or more circular concentric channels inside the space demarcated by the ditch with the smallest diameter. The maximum diameter of these objects is 30–240 metres, the width of the ditches varies between 1.5–14 metres and their depth is usually between 1 to 4.5 metres. If there is more than one ditch, then the inner one is the widest and deepest (Řídký et al. 2012). Excavation results indicate that a palisade was once set in these channels. The existence of earthwork-ramparts inside the rondel or, rather, outside the ditches, is a topic under discussion which has not as yet been reliably proven.

These features, the construction of which required, according to the prevailing consensus, certain mathematical or even astronomical knowledge (most recently in Daim, Neubauer, Eds. 2005, 73–79), were only built in certain settlement areas. It is precisely this exclusivity connected with the assumed organized and centrally planned construction which makes rondels important indicators of Neolithic social complexity (e.g. H. Stäuble in: Bartels et al. 2003, 133). Twenty four rondels in all were detected within the territory of the Czech Republic up until the end of 2009 (Řídký 2011).
The sedimentary infillings of rondel ditches are usually the only data archive available for further interpretations of rondel function and design. They look quite similar. The lower part is usually laminated with the thickness of the lamina varying from mm to cm, while the upper part of the infilling is more or less homogenous (Zeman, Havlíček 1988). The high amount of material excavated from the ditch suggests the presence of earthwork in close proximity to the ditch (Kovárník, Mangel 2013; Lenneis 1977; Modderman 1983; Němejcová-Pavuková 1986; Neugebauer 1986; Oliva 2004; Válek et al. in print), although there are also authors suggesting that the earthworks were not present (Trnka 1986). Kovárník, Mangel (2013) and Vokolek (1963) described one of the rondel structures near Trébovětice with still preserved earthworks located on the outer side of the ditch. The relics of earthworks were also documented in certain cases by aerial photography as lighter circles usually located on the outer side of the ditch. The location of the earthwork and its appearance is also a topic under question. A number of authors have suggested its location on the inner part of the ditch, while others have spoken of the outer part of the ditch. Although rondel structures as one type of monumental architecture look extremely similar, they on the other hand can vary to some degree and it is also quite possible that smaller earthworks were built on both sides of the ditch as it is visible on aerial photography in certain cases (Kovárník, Mangel 2013) or as found during the excavations (Zeman, Havlíček 1988).

How can one read the sedimentary archive of the ditch infillings? One basic approach is to distinguish lithological differences between layers and describe their appearance in a set of sections. This will serve to suggest the direction of the mass movement, while the lithological composition will relate of the provenance of the sediment transported into the ditch. These data were published numerous times suggesting the prevailing erosion of loess rich material or soil rich material, in all probability from nearby earthwork. The method of micromorphology used as the main methodological tool of this paper will probe more deeply into the processes taking place during the sedimentation. We would like to demonstrate how different phases of erosion and stabilisation of the ditch walls and the surrounding of the ditch can be recognised and how the different facial types commonly excavated in such structures can be read.

3. Site description and results

3.1 Case study Těšetice-Kyjovice

The Těšetice-Kyjovice Neolithic rondel (Figure 1) is located on the south-east oriented slope in an area named Sutny I. It was the most important part of a local settlement dated to the first stage of the Moravian painted ware culture (phase Ia). Nearly all the sediments of ditch infilling apart from one 10 metre long segment in the north-east part have already been excavated. This was the first time in Central Europe when such a rondel structure was systematically studied (Podborský 1988). The final interpretations of the formation processes of the infilling were made on the basis of twenty control sections (Podborský 1988) by Zeman and Havlíček (1988). They distinguished three different sets of layers and interpreted them as the maintenance layer at the base followed by thick set of layers composed of washouts and terminated by layers which originated as a levelling layer. Válek et al. (2013) consequently carried out the revision of the formation processes and recognized two main horizons.

The micromorphological samples document the formation processes of the primary lithological types distinguished in the infilling. The micromorphological description is indicated in Table 1 and documented by Figures 1 and 3. The uppermost part of the infilling is composed of highly bioturbated matrix (Table 1, sample 1, Figure 1A). It is evident that the material belongs to the redeposited soil which underwent the new pedogenesis. The channel microstructure (Figure 3A) and excrements of microfauna (Figure 3B) were documented. The horizons composing the infilling of the ditch, documented below (Table 1, samples 2, 3, 4 and partly 5), were developed due to the deposition under the slope (Figure 3D). The difference between them depends on the type of material deposited into the ditch. The dark horizons are composed of the redeposited A horizon, only partially stabilised by vegetation. During the relatively quick deposition due to the disruption of the surface of the source area loess material from the walls was also partly eroded, while the roundness of the soil clasts is due to the gravitation. The light material represents the product of the degradation of loess walls or earthwork near the ditch due to the rainsplash lately influenced by seasonal frost activity and producing platy to lenticular microstructure (Figure 3C). The very bottom of the ditch infilling contains subrounded clasts of redeposited B horizon of Eemian luvisol (Table 1, samples 4 and 5, Figure 3D). The B horizon of Eemian soil
Table 1. Micromorphological description and interpretations of samples from the Těšetice-Kyjovice rondel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample/horizon</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Photo documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1, depth of 10–20 cm</td>
<td>Complex microstructure, partly channel and partly subangular microstructure; voids: channels (10%), vughs (5%), cracks (5%) and compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Brown matrix, B fabric. Speckled occasionally cross striated. Microcharcoal (5%), decomposed dark (5%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, high bioturbation, presented FeOH accumulations (3%), no carbonate accumulations.</td>
<td>Redeposited and bioturbated soil, fertilised and deposited intentionally and in a humid environment.</td>
<td>Fig. 1 Fig. 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2, depth of 160–170 cm</td>
<td>Channel to granular microstructure, voids: channels (20%), compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Brown to dark brown matrix with crystallic and partly stipple speckled B fabric. Shell fragments documented. Microcharcoal presented but rare (1%), decomposed dark (10–15%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, carbonate accumulations in the form of coatings, hypocoatings, infillings. Bioturbation observed with microfauna excremental pellets. Rarely angular clasts of dark brown matrix with decomposed organic matter documented. Left part of the sample is composed of loess representing the geological background of the locality.</td>
<td>Highly bioturbated horizon of redeposited soil recently covered by vegetation. Rarely presented angular clasts come from A horizon of black earth like soil. No standing water environment detected.</td>
<td>Fig. 1 Fig. 3B C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 3, darker horizons, depth of 200–202 cm; 203–206 cm</td>
<td>Channel to granular, partly platy microstructure composed of subrounded clasts of light and dark matrix. Preferred orientation within clasts due to the bioturbation. Voids: channels, mainly within dark clasts (20%) and compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Brown to dark brown matrix with crystallic and partly stipple speckled B fabric. Shell fragments documented. Microcharcoal presented but rare (1%), decomposed dark (10–15%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, carbonate accumulations in the form of coatings, hypocoatings and infillings. Bioturbation presented and also excremental pellets of microfauna. Accumulations of calcium carbonate presented in the form of coating and fine grained concentrations within the matrix. Redeposited calcium carbonated accumulations detected.</td>
<td>Layers developed due to the deposition under the slope. The difference between them depends on the type of material deposited into the ditch. Dark horizons are composed of redeposited A horizon, just partly stabilised by vegetation. During the relatively quick deposition due to the disruption of the surface of the source area also loess material from the walls was partly eroded, the roundness of the soil clasts is due to the gravitation. The light material represents the product of degradation of loess walls or earthwork near the ditch due to the rainsplash lately influenced by seasonal frost action and producing platy microstructure. The upper three cm of sample 4 contain two light and one dark horizon comparable with those described within sample 3.</td>
<td>Fig. 1 Fig. 3C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 3, lighter horizons, depth of 202–203, 206–210</td>
<td>Massive, partly platy microstructure with porphyric related distribution. Voids: channels (3%). Preferred orientation of clasts. C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Light brown matrix with non differentiated B fabric, just occasionally crystalic. Organic matter decomposed dark (3%) and light (3%). Calcium carbonated concentration within matrix rare.</td>
<td>Bioturbated material composed of redeposited loess and soil material and also subrounded clasts of redeposited B horizon of Eemian luvisol. Redeposition probably under rainsplash, but lately highly bioturbated. The presence of B horizon suggests the redeposition from the earthworks where the excavated material was deposited.</td>
<td>Fig. 1 Fig. 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 4, depth of 254–260 cm.</td>
<td>Complex microstructure with granular and chamber types of microstructure. Voids: channels (20%) and compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Matrix vary from light brown where carbonates dominate, to brown and darker brown (depends on the percentage of decomposed fine grained organic matter). Subangular clasts detected in this horizon have a light orange colour. B fabric is crystalic, partly cross striated. Microcharcoal presented (5%), decomposed dark (10–15%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, carbonate accumulations in the form of coatings, hypocoatings and infillings.</td>
<td>Bioturbated material composed of redeposited loess and soil material and also subrounded clasts of redeposited B horizon of Eemian luvisol. Redeposition probably under rainsplash, but lately highly bioturbated. The presence of B horizon suggests the redeposition from the earthworks where the excavated material was deposited.</td>
<td>Fig. 1 Fig. 3D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Case study Kolín

The Kolín site, which was detected by a geophysical survey preceding the archaeological excavations, is situated extremely close to the Elbe River in the vicinity of one of its tributaries known as Pekelský stream (Figure 2). The largest rondel discovered during the excavations is composed of four concentric ditches: the outer one with a diameter of 210 m, the inner one with a diameter of 140 m (Šumberová 2012). The construction of the outer ditch has never been completed. Two gates created by two radiating ditches were discovered: the north gate was investigated archaeologically, while the south gate was documented by a geophysical survey. On the basis of the archaeological material from the infilling, the rondel is dated to the Late Neolithic period (the later phase of Stroke-Ornamented Pottery culture).

The micromorphological samples document the formation processes of the main body of the infilling. Their description is shown in Table 2 and documented by Figures 2 and 3. The most significant process which took place in the formation of the studied horizons is the natural deposition under the slope documented by the presence of rip-up clasts (Figure 3E). The difference between the horizons depends on the type of material deposited into the ditch. The dark horizons are composed of the redeposited A horizon, only partly stabilised by vegetation (Table 2, presented in all the studied samples). The stabilisation is documented by the presence of bioturbation (Figure 3G) and the presence of calcified root cells (Figure 3H). During the relatively quick deposition due to the disruption of the surface of the

Table 1. Micromorphological description and interpretations of samples from the Těšetice-Kyjovice rondel (continue).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample/horizon</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Photo documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 5, depth</td>
<td>Angular blocky to chamber microstructure with granular and chamber types of microstructure. Voids: cracks (10%) and channels (20%) and compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Gray to light brown (partly orange) highly calcified matrix with crystalic B fabric, occasionally cross striated B fabric. Microcharcoal presented (5%), decomposed dark (10–15%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, carbonate accumulations in the form of coatings, hypocoatings and infillings and FeOH nodules.</td>
<td>Bioturbated material composed of redeposited loess and soil material and also subrounded clasts of redeposited B horizon of Eemian luvisol, which is preserved in situ in the lower part of the sample. Redeposition probably under rainsplash into wet environment, but recently highly bioturbated. The lowermost part of the sample is composed of in situ Eemian luvisol.</td>
<td>Fig. 1 Fig. 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of 300–307 cm</td>
<td>Bioturbated material composed of redeposited loess and soil material and also subrounded clasts of redeposited B horizon of Eemian luvisol, which is preserved in situ in the lower part of the sample. Redeposition probably under rainsplash into wet environment, but recently highly bioturbated. The lowermost part of the sample is composed of in situ Eemian luvisol.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 5, depth</td>
<td>Angular blocky to chamber microstructure with granular and chamber types of microstructure. Voids: cracks (10%) and channels (20%) and compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=50:70, clay loam. Orange matrix with cross striated B fabric. Microcharcoal and decomposed organic matter presented up to 3%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of 307–310 cm</td>
<td>Bioturbated material composed of redeposited loess and soil material and also subrounded clasts of redeposited B horizon of Eemian luvisol, which is preserved in situ in the lower part of the sample. Redeposition probably under rainsplash into wet environment, but recently highly bioturbated. The lowermost part of the sample is composed of in situ Eemian luvisol.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Micromorphological description and interpretations of samples from the 3B section of the Kolín rondel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample/horizon</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Photo documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample M1, lighter horizons, depth</td>
<td>Complex microstructure. Voids: channels (20%) and compound packing voids (30%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Orange brown matrix, B fabric granostriated. Microcharcoal (5%), decomposed dark (5%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, high bioturbation, carbonate accumulations in the form of infillings with root cell pseudomorphoses.</td>
<td>Layers developed due to the deposition under the slope. The difference between them depends on the type of material deposited into the ditch. Dark horizons are composed of redeposited A horizon, just partly stabilised by vegetation. During the relatively rapid deposition due to the disruption of the surface of the source area loess material from the walls was also partly eroded, the roundness of the soil clasts is due to the gravitation. The light material represents the product of degradation of loess walls or earthwork near the ditch due to the rainsplash recently influenced by seasonal frost activity and producing platy microstructure.</td>
<td>Fig. 2, Fig. 3D, G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of 86–88, 91–96 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M2, darker horizons, depth</td>
<td>Channel microstructure composed of subrounded clasts of light and dark matrix. Voids: channels, mainly within dark clasts (20%) and compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Brown to dark brown matrix with granostriated B fabric. Microcharcoal presented but rare (1%), decomposed dark (10–15%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, carbonate accumulations in the form of coatings, hypocoatings and infillings. Accumulations of calcium carbonate presented in the form of coating and fine grained concentrations and root infillings within the matrix. Root cell pseudomorphoses and redeposited calcium carbonated accumulations detected.</td>
<td>Phases of slumping and sheet wash erosion of ditch walls, producing lighter and less bioturbated material are from time to time interrupted by more intensive rain-wash phases. Water is accumulated within the ditch depression and sedimentation from suspension begins to take place. After sedimentation, posdepositional processes such as drying and bioturbation degrade these thin layers.</td>
<td>Fig. 2, Fig. 3F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of 88–91 cm</td>
<td>Anallogous to M2, darker horizon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M2, lighter horizon, depth</td>
<td>Massive to channel microstructure with the intercalations of 0.4 mm representing bioturbated soil crusts with positive gradation. Voids: channels (20%) and compound packing voids (30%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Orange brown matrix, B fabric granostriated. Microcharcoal (5%), decomposed dark (5%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, high bioturbation, carbonate accumulations in the form of infillings with root cell pseudomorphoses. Thin intercalation are of a clayey loam grain size with no voids. The matrix of intercalations is dark brown C/F(10 µm)=50:50 with B crystalic B fabric.</td>
<td>The light material represents the product of degradation of loess walls or earthwork near the ditch due to the rainsplash recently influenced by seasonal frost activity and producing platy microstructure.</td>
<td>Fig. 2, Fig. 3C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of 101–106 cm</td>
<td>Anallogous to M2, lighter horizon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M3, depth of 110–120 cm</td>
<td>Anallogous to M2, lighter horizon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M4, depth of 122–124, 126–127</td>
<td>Channel to granular, partly platy microstructure. Voids: channels, mainly within dark clasts (20%) and compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Brown matrix with crystalic B fabric. Microcharcoal presented but rare (1%), decomposed dark (10–15%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, carbonate accumulations in the form of coatings, hypocoatings and infillings. Bioturbation presented and also excremental pellets of microfauna. Accumulations of calcium carbonate presented in the the form of coating and fine grained concentrations within the matrix. Redeposited calcium carbonated accumulations detected.</td>
<td>The light material represents the product of degradation of loess walls or earthwork near the ditch due to the rainsplash recently influenced by seasonal frost activity and producing platy microstructure.</td>
<td>Fig. 2, Fig. 3G, B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M4, depth of 124–126, 127–130</td>
<td>Channel to granular microstructure, voids: channels (20%), compound packing voids (50%). C/F(50 µm)=30:70, loam. Matrix light brown to grey, composed of carbonates, part of matrix (20%) is brownish. Crystalic B fabric. Microcharcoal presented but rare (1%), decomposed dark (10–15%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, carbonate accumulations in the form of coatings, hypocoatings and infillings. Bioturbation observed with microfauna excremental pellets. Rarely angular clasts of dark brown matrix with decomposed organic matter documented. Left part of sample is composed of loess representing the geological background of the locality.</td>
<td>Highly bioturbated horizon of redeposited soil recently covered by vegetation. The rarely presented angular clasts come from A horizon of black earth like soil. No standing water environment detected.</td>
<td>Fig. 2, Fig. 3G, B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Micromorphological description and interpretations of samples from the 3B section of the Kolín rondel (continue).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample/horizon</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Photo documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample M5, depth of 184–187 cm</td>
<td>Analogous to M2, lighter horizon</td>
<td>Analogous to M2, lighter horizon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M5, depth of 187–189 cm</td>
<td>Granular microstructure with preferred orientation of clasts. ooids: channels (5%), compound packing voids (60%). C/F(100 µm) = 80:20, sandy loam. Different grain size distribution is the reason for the laminae. These silty laminae are of two types. The lighter are primarily composed of rounded and subrounded quartz clasts (100 µm), while the dark ones are composed of subrounded clasts of humus rich matrix (100–200 µm) and decomposed organic matter. The matrix of darker layers is dark brown. Crystalic B fabric. Microcharcoal presented but rare (1%), decomposed dark (10–15%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%).</td>
<td>These horizons are a result of the heavy rainstorms when rill erosion occurred on fallow arable land, or on arable land only partly covered by crops.</td>
<td>Fig. 2 Fig. 3E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M6, depth of 216–218 cm</td>
<td>Analogous to M2, lighter horizon</td>
<td>Analogous to M2, lighter horizon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M6, depth of 218–224 cm</td>
<td>The horizon is composed of subangular clasts of dark brown matrix with decomposed organic matter. Channel to chamber microstructure, voids: channels (20%), compound packing voids (30%) and chambers (10%). C/F(50 µm) = 30:70, loam. Matrix dark brown, part of the matrix (20%) is yellowish. Crystalic B fabric. Microcharcoal presented but rare (1%), decomposed dark (40%) and light (10%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (5%). Phytoliths presented but rare, carbonates accumulations in the form of coatings, hypocoatings, infillings. Bioturbation observed with microfauna excremental pellets.</td>
<td>Phases of slumping and sheet wash erosion of ditch walls, producing a high percentage of redeposited soil and buried organic matter.</td>
<td>Fig. 2 Fig. 3G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M7, depth of 224–226 cm</td>
<td>Massive to channel microstructure. Voids: channels (20%) and compound packing voids (30%). C/F(50 µm) = 30:70, loam. Orange brown matrix, B fabric granostriated. Microcharcoal (5%), decomposed dark (5%) and light (5%) organic matter and partly decomposed organic matter (1%). Phytoliths presented but rare, high bioturbation, carbonate accumulations in the form of infillings with root cell pseudomorphoses. Thin intercalation are of clayey loam grain size with no voids. The matrix of intercalations is dark brown C/F(10 µm) = 50:50 with B fabric crystallic.</td>
<td>Phases of slumping and sheet wash erosion of ditch walls, producing lighter and less bioturbated material</td>
<td>Fig. 2 Fig. 3H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M7, depth of 226–234 cm</td>
<td>Massive, partly void microstructure with porphyric related distribution. Voids: channels (5%), C/F(50 µm) = 30:70, loam. Light brown matrix with crystalic B fabric. Organic matter decomposed dark (3%) and light (3%). Accumulations of calcium carbonate presented in the form of coating and fine grained concentrations within the matrix. Redeposited calcium carbonate accumulations detected.</td>
<td>Loess in situ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample M7, depth of 232–235 cm</td>
<td>Analogous to M2, darker horizon</td>
<td>Analogous to M2, darker horizon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

source area, loess material from the walls was also partially eroded with the roundness of the soil clasts, grain size and sorting (Figure 3E) being due to the gravitation. The light material represents the product of degradation of loess walls or earthwork near the ditch due to the rain splash lately influenced by seasonal frost activity and producing platy microstructure. Soil crusts, which are deposited upside down, i.e. with a negative gradation, are an interesting feature documenting the mechanical destruction of the once deposited material (Figure 3F). This feature may suggest previous cleaning of the ditch infilling and the presence of a rampart situated close to the ditch as a source of material.
4. Interpretation and discussion

4.1 Classification of the depositional processes
The question of a suitable manner of studying rondels has been updated in connection with a boom in the discovery of rondels throughout Central Europe. As the potential of classic archaeological methods has mostly been exhausted, they rarely produce any important new information. The time has therefore arrived to utilize procedures which provide a new view of the given issue. One of the possible methodological tools is micromorphology (Davidson et al. 1992), but up to the present, to our knowledge, various micromorphological criteria for recognizing the natural or anthropogenic processes which take place during the infilling history of archaeological objects, particularly rondels, have not been categorised and systematically classified. Loishandl-Weisz and Peticzka (2011) have tried to explain, on the Steinabrunn locality, the processes which might take place during the infilling of neolithic rondels. Recently, only Karkanas and Goldberg (2013) have tried to summarize the microscopic features produced by major natural depositional processes acting within a cave environment. These processes are primarily associated with changes in the geomorphic system, the climate and the presence of man, thus the classification of both cave and archaeological objects might be extremely similar.

According to Davidson et al. (1992) three main categories of features visible within archaeological objects can be discerned: those related to the source of the sediment, those which reveal something about the soil formation processes and those that are produced or modified by man, whether deliberately or accidentally. Analyses of soils and sediments can also provide data on the long-term processes of deposition and erosion (Renfrew, Bahn 2000, 235–237). An analysis of ditch sediment from the Těšetice Kyjovice rondel and from the Kolin rondel revealed that the structural and textural elements of the redeposited layers were continually repeated in a certain way, making it possible to deduce the following facts from the micromorphological study of these infillings: the phase of frequent bioturbation, the phase of quick redeposition, the phase of standing water, the minimal amount of microartefacts and charcoals, the intensification of sheet erosion, the phase of standing water and the occurrence of horizontal pores connected with freezing.

4.1.1 The phases of quick and slow redeposition
The slope processes play a key role in forming the main mass and particularly the lower part of the rondel ditches. A typical microstructure documenting this process is presented in Figures 3E and 3D. Slope deposits are generally sediments found on slopes or at the base or the foot of slopes which, according to Mücher et al. (2010), result from two main groups of processes: (a) colluvial deposits including slope wash, hill wash or rain wash including soil erosion on agricultural land and (b) mass wasting including solifluction deposits, landslides and debris flow deposits. Both of those processes are possible in the case of rondel ditches. The macromorphological characteristics of the various types
Figure 3. Microphotodocumentation of typical features detected in thin sections within rondel infillings of the Těšetice-Kyjovice (A–D) and the 3B ditch of Rondel in Kolín (E–H). A – highly bioturbated fertilised horizon of redeposited soil with a typical channel microstructure (PPL); B – excrements of microfauna (PPL); C – platy to lenticular microstructure of frost affected soil (PPL); D – subangular clasts of loess and soil redeposited rainsplash erosion (PPL); E – rip-up clasts of soil and coarse quartz as a product of high energy deposition (PPL); F – graded laminae as a product of sorting in standing water (XPL); G – bioturbation presented by the internal orientation of matrix, channel microstructure (PPL); H – calcified root cells as an infilling of channels (XPL); PPL – plane polarised light; XPL – cross polarised light.
of slope deposits are quite well documented (recently reviewed by Hiscott 2003) while the micromorphological characteristics of slope sediments are usually primarily concerned with solifluction deposits (reviewed by Van Vliet-Lanoë 2010). Bertran and Texier (1999) also published a paper focused on a detailed description of facies and microfacies of slope deposits. A review of the micromorphological characterisation of slope sediments was carried out by Mücher et al. (2010).

Mücher (1974) described the origin of laminated colluvium developed in loess material. As the loess material composes the background of the studied rondel, features of this type are characteristic and correspond to the described phenomenon. In the loess, the laminated colluvium is composed of individual layers predominantly 1–2 mm thick, homogenous in composition and more or less parallel to the soil surface. Field observations revealed that these deposits are formed during heavy rainstorms when ill erosion occurred on fallow arable land, or on arable land only partly covered by crops, which fail to protect the soil from rain splash impact (Mücher 1974). The construction of rondel ditches also probably led to the removal of vegetation and the beginning of erosion. Similar sedimentary features as Mücher (1974) have been described by Bertran and Texier (1999) and interpreted as overland flow, i.e. a process where the main factors in play are low to intermediate sediment concentration and interstitial fluid, which could be water or water plus fine particles. Water is in all probability the primary factor which took place during the sediment redeposition into the ditch infilling, although for example aeolian or frost processes cannot be excluded either. Overland flow deposits are usually moderately sorted with a marked spatial grain-size variation. The clast fabric ranges from random to weakly oriented (Bertran et al. 1997). The deposits typically demonstrate laminated lenses interstratified with massive deposits which is in fact an example of the laminated part of the body infilling of the studied rondels (samples 2–5 in case of the Těšetice-Kyjovice rondel, see Table 1 and Figure 3). The lenses with obvious lamination and evidence of sorting (Figure 3E) represent areas where vertical or lateral accretion due to dilute flows is rapid (Bertran, Texier 1999). Massive yellow or dark layers might also have originated by slumping. According to Karkanas and Goldberg (2013), the slumping deposits have a sharp erosional contact with the underlying substrate and a number of the aggregates attain a more concave configuration toward the direction of the flow. These sediments are in the case of the rondel ditch infillings easily interpretable from a macroscopic point of view, as they are not usually composed of a continuous layer but merely tongue-like accumulations. Massive deposits recorded in the study sections represent areas of hyperconcentrated flow accumulation or areas where accretion is slow and post-depositional perturbations such as splash, bioturbation, freezing and thawing are strong (Bertran, Texier 1999; see sample 3, lighter horizon – Table 1). Karkanas and Golberg (2013) have also divided types of slow deposits depending on the degree of energy within the cave environment. Lower-energy flows produce less sorted and more crudely bedded microstructures which correspond to the more massive yellow laminae of studied rondel ditch infillings.

The laminae of the rondel ditch infillings are composed at times of rounded aggregates with different degrees of sorting. This is for example the darker layers within samples 2 and 4 (Table 1) in case of the Těšetice-Kyjovice rondel. Mücher et al. (1981) interpreted the appearance of rounded aggregates as a result of disruption by running water of subsoil horizons, primarily Bt horizons which are relatively stable during transport in water. Laboratory experiments on loess reveal that sediment influenced by turbulent rainwash form a poorly layered colluvial deposit with poorly sorted individual laminae (Mücher, De Ploey 1977). Similar features were described in the lower part of the Kolin rondel ditch infilling (Figure 3E). Karkanas and Goldberg (2013) described this type of deposits as a common one in a cave environment. Angular to subangular, clay clast layers alternating with finely laminated clays have been in their view interpreted as a result of episodic high-flow events. These kinds of features were only rarely observed within the studied sections as mentioned above. In contrast, a lower energy process resulting, according to Karkanas and Goldberg (2013), in microscopically stratified deposits with angular clasts revealing an inclined preferred orientation to the slope and interpreted as a flow of liquefied sediments (debris flow) are quite common within the studied rondel sections and are primarily located at the bottom of the studied rondel ditch infillings. They are well developed in the case of the Těšetice-Kyjovice locality where part of the deposited material is the Bt horizon of the Eemian Bt horizon of Ivissol (Table 1, Figure 3).

4.1.2 The phases of standing water

Soil crusts were recorded within the infilling of the studied rondel ditches. These graded facies, usually of a thickness of 0.3–4 mm, were commonly disrupted, which might be due to the bioturbation or drying, swelling processes after the sedimentation. They were quite common primarily in the case of the Kolin site. Graded facies consisting of repetitive fining upward sequences of sand, silt and clay, usually less than a few millimetres thick, mainly observed in the cave environment (Goldberg, Macphail 2003) were also observed within the sedimentary fill of Neolithic rondels. In the case of both cave sediments and rondels, individual laminae are extremely well sorted with generally sharp contacts (Karkanas, Goldberg 2013). Such repetitive events of differential sediment setting imply the presence of static water into which pulsed sediments are washed (Shunk et al. 2006). Another possible explanation is occasional standing water body due to rainstorms. In such an environment, soil crusts similar to the graded cave facies may come about.

4.1.3 Freezing phases

The presence of horizontal pores was occasionally observed in the studied sections. These features are quite rare but were presented in lighter as well as in darker horizons and might
be interpreted as phases of freezing (Van Vliet-Lanoë 2010), which might not be necessarily connected with climate change. Uncovered soil is more accessible to frost action and such features might originate during one season. These phases were detected in both the studied rondel infillings (see Table 1, sample 3 and Table 2, sample 4).

4.1.4 The phases of frequent bioturbation

Bioturbation of sediment is a postsedimentary feature reflecting in situ biological activity. Macroscopic burrows produced by rodents can range from 8 to 10 cm tubular shapes for small animals up to several dm across in the case of badgers or porcupines (Karkanas, Goldberg 2013). Such features, visible with the naked eye, occurred in the case of the studied ditch infillings of rondel structures more or less just at the uppermost situated destruction layer, although they were clearly visible micromorphologically (Figures 3A, 3B, 3G, 3H). Invertebrates, such as snails, produce centimetre-size burrows and tubes, whereas insects (wasps and ants) produce smaller burrows, typically in the millimeter-size range (Karkanas, Goldberg 2013). Burrows are usually filled with softer material than the surrounding matrix and have loose, heterogeneous and aggregated fabric (Goldberg, Bar-Yosef 1998). In the case of the studied rondels, the bioturbation is presented continually over all the section, although some of the layers have visibly higher bioturbation intensity. These layers are usually also rich in fine-grained organic matter. All the microorganisms feed in essence on soil organic matter. Bioturbation features identified within the studied infillings of the rondel structures originated in situ, i.e. the soil material had to contain active soil fauna after the redeposition. The presence of an active soil fauna population is often provided in relationship with the soil fauna after the redeposition. The presence of an active soil fauna population is often provided in relationship with the formation of the soil horizon (Davidson et al. 2002). Entire soil horizons can be bioturbated or completely depleted in fine grained particles (Kooistra et al. 1990; Schaefer 2001). This means, in contrast, that the infilling of rondel ditches as a whole was quite slow and the bioturbation by plants and mesofauna was more or less continuous. The bottom of the ditch is partly restricted in terms of sunshine, although on the other hand such depressions offer increased humidity with material redeposited already rich in decomposed organic matter. These factors played an important role in the origin of the bioturbation features.

4.1.5 Phases of carbonate accumulations

The presence of carbonated coatings, hypocoatings, infillings and accumulations is quite common in loess material since calcium carbonate is easily moved by percolating water in connection with growing vegetation. Common root related features (Durand et al. 2010) occur all over the studied section. Due to their high solubility, carbonates may dissolve from the horizons in which they originally accumulated as a reaction to changes in climate or local conditions towards a higher humidity. This is in particular the example of the Těšetice-Kyjovice rondel ditch infilling. Carbonate accumulations were misinterpreted there by Zeman and Havlíček (1988) with trampled horizons.

4.2 Depositional geomorphology and infilling of ditches

The ditches of Neolithic rondels usually have a sharp profile. The width of the ditches varies between 1.5–14 metres with their depth usually being between 1 to 4.5 metres (Řídký et al. 2012) which means that during the construction a high amount of material had been excavated. An estimation of this amount is quite tricky. This kind of material was probably redeposited nearby in the form of earthwork (Kovárník, Mangel 2013; Lenneis 1977; Modderman 1983; Němejcová-Pavúková 1986; Neugebauer 1986; Řídký et al. in print) and recently during the erosion phases redeposited back into the inner parts of the ditch.

The natural way of redeposition in the case of rondel ditches was described by Broes and Bosquet (2007). They carried out experimental work on the method of sharp profile ditch infilling with the earthwork close to the ditch. In their view, no intensive sedimentation was observed seven months after the abandonment of the ditch. The erosion of the walls caused the infilling of the bottom of the ditch up to 50 cm. As the vegetation cover grows the erosion slows down. During the 3rd week of the experiment the plants began to settle the earthwork and the uppermost parts of the walls. Three weeks later, two thirds of the earthworks and ditch were covered by the vegetation and by the 9th week of the experiment rich vegetation was covering both the earthwork and ditch including its walls. The bottom of the ditch was re-covered occasionally by slumping of the material from the walls which produced the repeated sedimentation of the dark and yellow layers. Although Broes and Bosquet (2007) unfortunately did not specify the role of the earthworks during these taphonomic processes, it is apparent that the first stage of the infilling was quite rapid. Later the sedimentation and erosion reached a kind of equilibrium.

There are additional authors suggesting that the earthworks were not present and that the material was merely redeposited on the surface around the rondel (Trnka 1986; Podborský 1988), although the presence of exotic material (stones, sands, mudbricks) in certain cases mainly points to the first hypothesis. If the earthworks were not present, then there is a question as to where the lithologically varied material transported into the ditch comes from. Part of it might have eroded from the walls of the ditch (loess material) and from the surrounding area (soil material), although the lack of a wide source area for the soil material suggests in fact the presence of earthwork which would produce such material. Approximately the lower two thirds of the infilling were redeposited into the ditch naturally mainly via slope processes, as is visible from the textural features and micromorphological descriptions. The upper part of the infilling is quite homogenous and highly bioturbated with no textural or microstructural features suggesting a natural
redeposition. In addition, the slope of the ditch at that time became milder and therefore a natural redeposition probably did not take place. Similar features dated to the same period are known which were not naturally infilled because the landscape was not agriculturally used (Oliva 2004). The infilling of this part of the profile is probably connected with intentional activity bound up with agriculture, with a direct effort at flattening the terrain. The soil material transported into the ditch was recently bioturbated, although in light of its quantity it originally comes from the A horizon of some soil previously developed over the long time period.

5. Conclusions

The given results lead to the following interpretations: the rondel ditch infillings studied in Těšetice-Kyjovice and in the case of Kolín consist of two main types of infillings. The laminated part of the body composes approximately two thirds of the lower infilling while the generally massive material composes the uppermost part of the ditch infilling. There are macroscopically and micromorphologically visible differences in these two types of facies. While the massive infill in the uppermost part of the ditch was deposited by man, the lower laminated part of the infilling is primarily the result of geomorphological and climatic conditions. The colluvial processes also prevail and different phases of sedimentation might be observed within both studied localities. There was in all probability a rampart near the ditch and this was the source of the material by which the ditch was refilled naturally. The facies in the ditch infilling reflect the phases and stabilization of this rampart. The studied part of the ditch was probably not cleaned; the infilling occurred relatively quickly at first and then slowed due to the stabilization of the landscape and the disappearance of the source of material.
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